

# Weaning from Mechanical Ventilation in the Operating Room – A Systematic Review

St. Michael's  
Inspired Care.  
Inspiring Science.

## AUTHORS

M. ABBOTT<sup>1,2</sup>, S. PEREIRA<sup>3</sup>, N.

SANDERS<sup>2</sup>, M. GIRARD<sup>4,5,6</sup>, A.

SANKAR<sup>2,3</sup>, M. SKLAR<sup>2,3,8</sup>

1 Temerty Faculty of Medicine, University of Toronto, ON, Canada

2 Keenan Research Centre for Biomedical Science, St. Michael's Hospital, Toronto, ON, Canada

3 Department of Anesthesiology and Pain Medicine, University of Toronto, ON, Canada

4 Department of Anesthesiology, Centre hospitalier de l'Université de Montréal, QC, Canada

5 Division of Critical Care, Centre hospitalier de l'Université de Montréal, QC, Canada

6 Centre hospitalier de l'Université de Montréal Research Centre, QC, Canada

7 Interdepartmental Division of Critical Care Medicine, University of Toronto, ON, Canada

## CONTACT INFORMATION

megan.abbott@mail.utoronto.ca

## INTRODUCTION

- Postoperative pulmonary complications (PPCs): common, most frequent is atelectasis
- Even mild PPCs associated with adverse outcomes (including ICU admission)
- **Limited research addressing weaning**, though it is performed for most surgical patients
- Current strategies may **increase** PPCs

## OBJECTIVES

- **To evaluate weaning strategies in the operating room and their association with postoperative pulmonary outcomes**
- To guide clinical practice on weaning from mechanical ventilation, and highlight unknowns for future research

## METHOD

- Multiple databases, 1946 – March 2023
- Inclusion: adult (over 18 years) patients undergoing surgery under general anesthesia
- Intervention: weaning from mechanical ventilation following surgery
- Outcomes: atelectasis, oxygenation (PaO<sub>2</sub>, PaO<sub>2</sub>/FiO<sub>2</sub>, postoperative oxygen supplementation), lung volume changes, PPCs

## RESULTS

Intervention	Intervention group	Control group	Outcome Measured	GRADE	Risk of Bias	Overall Effect
<b>Outcome: Atelectasis</b>						
PSV & PEEP	33% [RR 0.58; 95% CI, 0.35-0.91]	57%	Incidence of atelectasis [Jeong et al. 2021]	High ⊕⊕⊕⊕	Low	Likely beneficial
PSV & PEEP & FiO <sub>2</sub>	1.6±3.6 6.2±4.1%	0.3±3.7 10.8±7.1%	Pulmonary aeration at PACU (qLUSS score) [Girard et al. 2023] Percentage of non-aerated lung tissue [Pereira et al. 2018]	Moderate ⊕⊕⊕⊕	Some Concerns	Likely beneficial
PEEP	6.8±3.4 vs. 2.6±1.1% 5.5 [0-16.9] cm <sup>2</sup> 3 [1-6] & 20% [RR 0.512; 95% CI, 0.311-0.843]	8.3±6.2% 6.8 [0-27.5] cm <sup>2</sup> 7 [3-9] & 39%	Postoperative atelectasis [Benoit et al. 2002] Atelectasis area [Edmark et al. 2014] LUS score & Significant atelectasis [Park et al. 2021]	Low ⊕⊕⊕	High	Likely beneficial
PSV & PEEP	5.2 [2.4-14.3] cm <sup>2</sup>	4.9 [3.0-12.7] cm <sup>2</sup>	Postoperative atelectasis [Ostberg et al. 2019]	High ⊕⊕⊕⊕	Low	No effect
<b>Outcome: Oxygenation</b>						
PSV & PEEP	92±26 mmHg	83±13 mmHg	PaO <sub>2</sub> at PACU [Jeong et al. 2021]	High ⊕⊕⊕⊕	Low	Likely beneficial
PSV & PEEP & FiO <sub>2</sub>	12% & 26 [22, 28] hrs.	58% & 13 [2, 26] hrs.	% oxygen supplementation & Duration of supplemental O <sub>2</sub> administration [Girard et al. 2023]	High ⊕⊕⊕⊕	Low	Likely beneficial
PEEP	75 vs. 95 mmHg* 67±7 mmHg 435 [300-525] mmHg 12.7% vs. 8.1%	85 mmHg* 62±7 mmHg 427 [345-502] mmHg 14.2%	PaO <sub>2</sub> at PACU [Benoit et al. 2002] PaO <sub>2</sub> /FiO <sub>2</sub> 90 mins after extubation [Staehr et al. 2012] Estimated venous admixture [Edmark et al. 2016]	Moderate ⊕⊕⊕⊕	Some Concerns	Likely beneficial
PSV & PEEP & FiO <sub>2</sub>	1.52±6.71 mmHg 423 [308 to 561] mmHg	1.98± 6.52 mmHg 418 [349 to 582] mmHg	A-a partial pressure difference [Lumb et al. 2010] PaO <sub>2</sub> /FiO <sub>2</sub> : 15-45 mins after extubation [Ostberg et al. 2019]	Moderate ⊕⊕⊕	Some Concerns	No effect
<b>Outcome: Lung Volumes</b>						
PSV & PEEP & FiO <sub>2</sub>	+0.5 L*	-0.6 L*	End-expiratory lung volume [Kostic et al. 2018]	Low ⊕⊕⊕	High	Likely beneficial
FiO <sub>2</sub>	41±14% vs. 37±13% & 44±17% vs. 40±20% 1633 mL [1343-1948]	46±14% & 49±20% 1615 mL [1375-2318]	End-expiratory & Total lung impedance reduction [Park et al. 2020] FRC [Staehr et al. 2012]	Moderate ⊕⊕⊕	Low	No effect
<b>Outcome: PPCs</b>						
FiO <sub>2</sub> & PEEP	43% [RR 0.90; 95% CI, 0.74-1.10] vs. 41% [RR 0.84; 95% CI, 0.69-1.03] vs. 39% [RR 0.80; 95% CI, 0.65-0.99]	48%	% patients with PPCs [Ferrando et al. 2018]	Moderate ⊕⊕⊕	Low	Likely beneficial

\*Values estimated from a Figure

**Bold = studies that only studied the weaning phase**

Note: PSV = pressure support ventilation, PEEP = positive end-expiratory pressure, LUS = lung ultrasound, FiO<sub>2</sub> = fraction of inspired oxygen, CT = computed tomography, A-a = alveolar-arterial oxygen pressure difference, FRC = functional residual capacity, PPCs = postoperative pulmonary complications; qLUSS = quantitative lung ultrasound score

## CONCLUSION

- Interventions combining low FiO<sub>2</sub>, PSV & PEEP improved postoperative pulmonary outcomes:
  - Atelectasis, oxygenation & lung volumes
  - Individualized PEEP reduced incidence of PPCs
- We recommend weaning after surgery be performed with low FiO<sub>2</sub>, PSV and individualized PEEP
- Future studies needed to develop a standardized mechanical ventilation weaning protocol

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Thank you to the Keenan Family for supporting my work on this project through the Keenan Research Summer Student Award.

Thank you to my PIs (Drs. Ashwin Sankar and Michael Sklar) for their guidance and mentorship throughout this project.

## REFERENCES

1. Wang J, Wang G, Zhang J, et al. (2021) The Effect of Weaning End-Tidal Carbon Dioxide on Postoperative Pulmonary Complications. *Journal of Intensive Care Medicine* 36(10):1077-1082.
2. Liao S, Chen H, Li J, et al. (2021) Postoperative pulmonary complications: aetiology, diagnosis and management. *British Medical Journal* 382:e001111.
3. Jeong H, Kim H, Kim J, et al. (2021) Postoperative pulmonary complications: aetiology, diagnosis and management. *British Medical Journal* 382:e001111.
4. Benoit D, Brodeur G, Brodeur G, et al. (2002) Postoperative pulmonary complications: aetiology, diagnosis and management. *British Medical Journal* 325(7282):1181-1185.
5. Ostberg K, Jeon J, Jeon J, et al. (2019) Postoperative pulmonary complications: aetiology, diagnosis and management. *British Medical Journal* 379(10617):e000000.
6. Jeon J, Jeon J, Jeon J, et al. (2021) Postoperative pulmonary complications: aetiology, diagnosis and management. *British Medical Journal* 382:e001111.
7. Jeon J, Jeon J, Jeon J, et al. (2021) Postoperative pulmonary complications: aetiology, diagnosis and management. *British Medical Journal* 382:e001111.
8. Jeon J, Jeon J, Jeon J, et al. (2021) Postoperative pulmonary complications: aetiology, diagnosis and management. *British Medical Journal* 382:e001111.
9. Jeon J, Jeon J, Jeon J, et al. (2021) Postoperative pulmonary complications: aetiology, diagnosis and management. *British Medical Journal* 382:e001111.
10. Jeon J, Jeon J, Jeon J, et al. (2021) Postoperative pulmonary complications: aetiology, diagnosis and management. *British Medical Journal* 382:e001111.
11. Jeon J, Jeon J, Jeon J, et al. (2021) Postoperative pulmonary complications: aetiology, diagnosis and management. *British Medical Journal* 382:e001111.
12. Jeon J, Jeon J, Jeon J, et al. (2021) Postoperative pulmonary complications: aetiology, diagnosis and management. *British Medical Journal* 382:e001111.
13. Jeon J, Jeon J, Jeon J, et al. (2021) Postoperative pulmonary complications: aetiology, diagnosis and management. *British Medical Journal* 382:e001111.
14. Jeon J, Jeon J, Jeon J, et al. (2021) Postoperative pulmonary complications: aetiology, diagnosis and management. *British Medical Journal* 382:e001111.

# INTRODUCTION

---

- Postoperative pulmonary complications (PPCs): common, most frequent is atelectasis
- Even mild PPCs associated with adverse outcomes (including ICU admission)
- **Limited research addressing weaning**, though it is performed for most surgical patients
- Current strategies may *increase* PPCs

# OBJECTIVES

---

- **To evaluate weaning strategies in the operating room and their association with postoperative pulmonary outcomes**
- To guide clinical practice on weaning from mechanical ventilation, and highlight unknowns for future research

# METHOD

---

- Multiple databases, 1946 – March 2023
- Inclusion: adult (over 18 years) patients undergoing surgery under general anesthesia
- Intervention: weaning from mechanical ventilation following surgery
- Outcomes: atelectasis, oxygenation ( $\text{PaO}_2$ ,  $\text{PaO}_2/\text{FiO}_2$ , postoperative oxygen supplementation), lung volume changes, PPCs

# RESULTS

Intervention	Intervention group	Control group	Outcome Measured	GRADE	Risk of Bias	Overall Effect
<b>Outcome: Atelectasis</b>						
PSV & PEEP	<b>33% [RR 0.58; 95% CI, 0.35-0.91]</b>	<b>57%</b>	<b>Incidence of atelectasis [Jeong et al. 2021]</b>	High ⊕⊕⊕⊕	Low	Likely beneficial
PSV & PEEP & FiO <sub>2</sub>	<b>1.6±3.6</b> 6.2±4.1%	<b>0.3±3.7</b> 10.8±7.1%	<b>Pulmonary aeration at PACU (qLUSS score) [Girard et al. 2023]</b> Percentage of non-aerated lung tissue [Pereira et al. 2018]	Moderate ⊕⊕⊕	Some Concerns	Likely beneficial
FiO <sub>2</sub>	<b>6.8±3.4 vs. 2.6±1.1%</b> 5.5 [0-16.9] cm <sup>2</sup> 3 [1-6] & 20% [RR 0.512; 95% CI, 0.311-0.843]	<b>8.3±6.2%</b> 6.8 [0-27.5] cm <sup>2</sup> 7 [3-9] & 39%	<b>Postoperative atelectasis [Benoit et al. 2002]</b> Atelectasis area [Edmark et al. 2014] LUS score & Significant atelectasis [Park et al. 2021]	Low ⊕⊕	High	Likely beneficial
PEEP	<b>5.2 [2.4-14.3] cm<sup>2</sup></b>	<b>4.9 [3.0-12.7] cm<sup>2</sup></b>	<b>Postoperative atelectasis [Ostberg et al. 2019]</b>	High ⊕⊕⊕⊕	Low	No effect
<b>Outcome: Oxygenation</b>						
PSV & PEEP	<b>92±26 mmHg</b>	<b>83±13 mmHg</b>	<b>PaO<sub>2</sub> at PACU [Jeong et al. 2021]</b>	High ⊕⊕⊕⊕	Low	Likely beneficial
PSV & PEEP & FiO <sub>2</sub>	<b>12% &amp; 26 [22, 28] hrs.</b>	<b>58% &amp; 13 [2, 26] hrs.</b>	<b>% oxygen supplementation &amp; Duration of supplemental O<sub>2</sub> administration [Girard et al. 2023]</b>	High ⊕⊕⊕⊕	Low	Likely beneficial
FiO <sub>2</sub>	<b>75 vs. 95 mmHg*</b> <b>67±7 mmHg</b> 435 [300-525] mmHg 12.7% vs. 8.1%	<b>85 mmHg*</b> <b>62±6 mmHg</b> 427 [345-502] mmHg 14.2%	<b>PaO<sub>2</sub> at PACU [[Benoit et al. 2002]</b> <b>PaO<sub>2</sub> 60 mins postoperative [Kleinsasser et al. 2014]</b> PaO <sub>2</sub> /FiO <sub>2</sub> 90 mins after extubation [Staehr et al. 2012] Estimated venous admixture [Edmark et al. 2016]	Moderate ⊕⊕⊕	Some Concerns	Likely beneficial
PEEP	<b>1.52±6.71 mmHg</b> 423 [308 to 561] mmHg	<b>1.98± 6.52 mmHg</b> 418 [349 to 582] mmHg	<b>A-a partial pressure difference [Lumb et al. 2010]</b> <b>PaO<sub>2</sub>/FiO<sub>2</sub> 15-45 mins after extubation [Ostberg et al. 2019]</b>	Moderate ⊕⊕⊕	Some Concerns	No effect
<b>Outcome: Lung Volumes</b>						
PSV & PEEP & FiO <sub>2</sub>	<b>+0.5 L*</b>	<b>-0.6 L*</b>	<b>End-expiratory lung volume [Kostic et al. 2018]</b>	Low ⊕⊕	High	Likely beneficial
FiO <sub>2</sub>	<b>41±14% vs. 37±13% &amp; 44±17% vs. 40±20%</b> 1633 mL [1343-1948]	<b>46±14% &amp; 49±20%</b> 1615 mL [1375-2318]	<b>End-expiratory &amp; Total lung impedance reduction [Park et al. 2020]</b> FRC [Staehr et al. 2012]	Moderate ⊕⊕⊕	Low	No effect
<b>Outcome: PPCs</b>						
FiO <sub>2</sub> & PEEP	43% [RR 0.90; 95% CI, 0.74-1.10] vs. 41% [RR 0.84; 95% CI, 0.69-1.03] vs. 39% [RR 0.80; 95% CI, 0.65-0.99]	48%	% patients with PPCs [Ferrando et al. 2018]	Moderate ⊕⊕⊕	Low	Likely beneficial

\*Values estimated from a Figure

**Bold = studies that only studied the weaning phase**

Note: PSV = pressure support ventilation, PEEP = positive end-expiratory pressure, LUS = lung ultrasound, FiO<sub>2</sub> = fraction of inspired oxygen, CT = computed tomography, A-a = alveolar-arterial oxygen pressure difference, FRC = functional residual capacity, PPCs = postoperative pulmonary complications; qLUSS = quantitative lung ultrasound score

# CONCLUSION

---

- Interventions combining low  $\text{FiO}_2$ , PSV & PEEP improved postoperative pulmonary outcomes:
  - Atelectasis, oxygenation & lung volumes
  - Individualized PEEP reduced incidence of PPCs
- We recommend weaning after surgery be performed with low  $\text{FiO}_2$ , PSV and individualized PEEP
- Future studies needed to develop a standardized mechanical ventilation weaning protocol

# ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

---

Thank you to the Keenan Family for supporting my work on this project through the Keenan Research Summer Student Award.

Thank you to my PIs (Drs. Ashwin Sankar and Michael Sklar) for their guidance and mentorship throughout this project.

# REFERENCES

---

1. Benoît, Z., Wicky, S., Fischer, J.-F., Frascarolo, P., Chapuis, C., Spahn, D. R., & Magnusson, L. (2002). The Effect of Increased Fio<sub>2</sub> Before Tracheal Extubation on Postoperative Atelectasis. *Anesthesia & Analgesia*, 95(6), 1777. <https://doi.org/10.1097/0000539-200212000-00058>
2. Lumb, A. B., Greenhill, S. J., Simpson, M. P., & Stewart, J. (2010). Lung recruitment and positive airway pressure before extubation does not improve oxygenation in the post-anaesthesia care unit: A randomized clinical trial. *BJA: British Journal of Anaesthesia*, 104(5), 643–647. <https://doi.org/10.1093/bja/aeq080>
3. Staehr, A. K., Meyhoff, C. S., Henneberg, S. W., Christensen, P. L., & Rasmussen, L. S. (2012). Influence of perioperative oxygen fraction on pulmonary function after abdominal surgery: A randomized controlled trial. *BMC Research Notes*, 5(1), 383. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1756-0500-5-383>
4. Edmark, L., Auner, U., Lindbäck, J., Enlund, M., & Hedenstierna, G. (2014). Post-operative atelectasis – a randomised trial investigating a ventilatory strategy and low oxygen fraction during recovery. *Acta Anaesthesiologica Scandinavica*, 58(6), 681–688. <https://doi.org/10.1111/aas.12322>
5. Kleinsasser, A. T., Pircher, I., Truebsbach, S., Knotzer, H., Loeckinger, A., & Tremel, B. (2014). Pulmonary Function after Emergence on 100% Oxygen in Patients with Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease: A Randomized, Controlled Trial. *Anesthesiology*, 120(5), 1146–1151. <https://doi.org/10.1097/ALN.000000000000161>
6. Edmark, L., Östberg, E., Scheer, H., Wallquist, W., Hedenstierna, G., & Zetterström, H. (2016). Preserved oxygenation in obese patients receiving protective ventilation during laparoscopic surgery: A randomized controlled study. *Acta Anaesthesiologica Scandinavica*, 60(1), 26–35. <https://doi.org/10.1111/aas.12588>
7. Pereira, S. M., Tucci, M. R., Morais, C. C. A., Simões, C. M., Tonelotto, B. F. F., Pompeo, M. S., Kay, F. U., Pelosi, P., Vieira, J. E., & Amato, M. B. P. (2018). Individual Positive End-expiratory Pressure Settings Optimize Intraoperative Mechanical Ventilation and Reduce Postoperative Atelectasis. *Anesthesiology*, 129(6), 1070–1081. <https://doi.org/10.1097/ALN.0000000000002435>
8. Kostic, P., LoMauro, A., Larsson, A., Hedenstierna, G., Frykholm, P., & Aliverti, A. (2018). Specific anesthesia-induced lung volume changes from induction to emergence: A pilot study. *Acta Anaesthesiologica Scandinavica*, 62(3), 282–292. <https://doi.org/10.1111/aas.13026>
9. Ferrando, C., Soro, M., Unzueta, C., Suarez-Sipmann, F., Canet, J., Librero, J., Pozo, N., Peiró, S., Llombart, A., León, I., India, I., Aldecoa, C., Díaz-Cambronero, O., Pestaña, D., Redondo, F. J., Garutti, I., Balust, J., García, J. I., Ibáñez, M., ... Individualized Perioperative Open-lung Ventilation (iPROVE) Network. (2018). Individualised perioperative open-lung approach versus standard protective ventilation in abdominal surgery (iPROVE): A randomised controlled trial. *The Lancet. Respiratory Medicine*, 6(3), 193–203. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S2213-2600\(18\)30024-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/S2213-2600(18)30024-9)
10. Östberg, E., Thorisson, A., Enlund, M., Zetterström, H., Hedenstierna, G., & Edmark, L. (2019). Positive End-expiratory Pressure and Postoperative Atelectasis: A Randomized Controlled Trial. *Anesthesiology*, 131(4), 809–817. <https://doi.org/10.1097/ALN.0000000000002764>
11. Park, J., Lee, E.-K., Lee, J.-H., Oh, E. J., & Min, J. J. (2020). Effects of inspired oxygen concentration during emergence from general anaesthesia on postoperative lung impedance changes evaluated by electrical impedance tomography: A randomised controlled trial. *Journal of Clinical Monitoring and Computing*, 34(5), 995–1004. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10877-019-00390-0>
12. Park, M., Jung, K., Sim, W. S., Kim, D. K., Chung, I. S., Choi, J. W., Lee, E. J., Lee, N. Y., & Kim, J. A. (2021). Perioperative high inspired oxygen fraction induces atelectasis in patients undergoing abdominal surgery: A randomized controlled trial. *Journal of Clinical Anesthesia*, 72, 110285. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclinane.2021.110285>
13. Jeong, H., Tanatporn, P., Ahn, H. J., Yang, M., Kim, J. A., Yeo, H., & Kim, W. (2021). Pressure Support versus Spontaneous Ventilation during Anesthetic Emergence-Effect on Postoperative Atelectasis: A Randomized Controlled Trial. *Anesthesiology*, 135(6), 1004–1014. <https://doi.org/10.1097/ALN.0000000000003997>
14. Girard J, Zaouter C, Moore A, Carrier FM, Girard M. (2023). Effects of an open lung extubation strategy compared with a conventional extubation strategy on postoperative pulmonary complications after general anesthesia: a single-centre pilot randomized controlled trial. *Can J Anaesth*, 70(10), 1648-1659. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12630-023-02533-z>



# CONTACT INFORMATION

---

[megan.abbott@mail.utoronto.ca](mailto:megan.abbott@mail.utoronto.ca)